

Dartmouth College Dartmouth Digital Commons

Open Dartmouth: Faculty Open Access Articles

12-11-2012

Adenosine Deaminase Enhances the Immunogenicity of Human Dendritic Cells from Healthy and HIV-Infected Individuals

Víctor Casanova

University of Barcelona

Isaac Isaac Naval-Macabuhay

University of Barcelona

Marta Massanella

Institut d'Investigació en Ciències de la Salut Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona

Marta Rodríguez-García

Dartmouth College

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa>

 Part of the [Neuroscience and Neurobiology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Casanova, Víctor; Naval-Macabuhay, Isaac Isaac; Massanella, Marta; and Rodríguez-García, Marta, "Adenosine Deaminase Enhances the Immunogenicity of Human Dendritic Cells from Healthy and HIV-Infected Individuals" (2012). *Open Dartmouth: Faculty Open Access Articles*. 2524.

<https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa/2524>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Dartmouth Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Dartmouth: Faculty Open Access Articles by an authorized administrator of Dartmouth Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dartmouthdigitalcommons@groups.dartmouth.edu.

Adenosine Deaminase Enhances the Immunogenicity of Human Dendritic Cells from Healthy and HIV-Infected Individuals

Víctor Casanova^{1,9}, Isaac Naval-Macabuhay^{1,9}, Marta Massanella², Marta Rodríguez-García³, Julià Blanco², José M. Gatell⁴, Felipe García^{4,5}, Teresa Gallart^{4,6}, Carme Lluís¹, Josefa Mallol¹, Rafael Franco^{1,9}, Núria Climent^{4,9}, Peter J. McCormick^{1,9}

1 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Biology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, **2** Fundació irsiCaixa-HIVACAT, Institut d'Investigació en Ciències de la Salut Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain, **3** Department of Physiology and Neurobiology, Dartmouth Medical School Lebanon, Lebanon, New Hampshire, United States of America, **4** Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS)-AIDS Research Group, and Catalanian Center for HIV Vaccines (HIVACAT), Barcelona, Spain, **5** Infectious Diseases and AIDS Unit, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, **6** Service of Immunology, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

ADA is an enzyme implicated in purine metabolism, and is critical to ensure normal immune function. Its congenital deficit leads to severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID). ADA binding to adenosine receptors on dendritic cell surface enables T-cell costimulation through CD26 crosslinking, which enhances T-cell activation and proliferation. Despite a large body of work on the actions of the ecto-enzyme ADA on T-cell activation, questions arise on whether ADA can also modulate dendritic cell maturation. To this end we investigated the effects of ADA on human monocyte derived dendritic cell biology. Our results show that both the enzymatic and non-enzymatic activities of ADA are implicated in the enhancement of CD80, CD83, CD86, CD40 and CCR7 expression on immature dendritic cells from healthy and HIV-infected individuals. These ADA-mediated increases in CD83 and costimulatory molecule expression is concomitant to an enhanced IL-12, IL-6, TNF- α , CXCL8(IL-8), CCL3(MIP-1 α), CCL4(MIP-1 β) and CCL5(RANTES) cytokine/chemokine secretion both in healthy and HIV-infected individuals and to an altered apoptotic death in cells from HIV-infected individuals. Consistently, ADA-mediated actions on iDCs are able to enhance allogeneic CD4 and CD8-T-cell proliferation, globally yielding increased iDC immunogenicity. Taken together, these findings suggest that ADA would promote enhanced and correctly polarized T-cell responses in strategies targeting asymptomatic HIV-infected individuals.

Citation: Casanova V, Naval-Macabuhay I, Massanella M, Rodríguez-García M, Blanco J, et al. (2012) Adenosine Deaminase Enhances the Immunogenicity of Human Dendritic Cells from Healthy and HIV-Infected Individuals. PLoS ONE 7(12): e51287. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287

Editor: Sunil K. Ahuja, South Texas Veterans Health Care System and University Health Science Center San Antonio, United States of America

Received: June 11, 2012; **Accepted:** October 31, 2012; **Published:** December 11, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Casanova et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was supported by FIPSE (a non-profit foundation including: Spanish Ministry of Health, Abbott Laboratories, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharp and Dohme and Roche) 36750/08, FIS (Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria) P509/01297 and P110/02984, grant from Spanish Ministry of Science (SAF2006-26667-E) and HIVACAT (Catalonian Center for HIV Vaccines). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: pmccormick@ub.edu

† Current address: Centro de Investigación Médica Aplicada (CIMA), University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Adenosine deaminase (ADA, EC 3.5.4.4) is a key enzyme in the purine pathway catalyzing the irreversible deamination of adenosine or 2'-deoxyadenosine to inosine or 2'-deoxyinosine and ammonia. ADA's function is essential in maintaining an immune response as patients with ADA deficiency suffer from SCID, a rare inherited metabolic disorder that causes lymphopenia and immunodeficiency [1,2] due to the accumulation of toxic substrates [3] and excessive adenosine receptor activation [4]. ADA is released into the extracellular medium by immune cells where the enzyme can bind to certain membrane proteins such as CD26 [5–7] and adenosine receptors A₁ [8], A_{2B} [9] and A_{2A} [10]. CD26 is a T-cell-activation marker molecule that has dipeptidyl-peptidase enzymatic activity. Physiologically, this activity regulates

the actions of several peptides, including chemokines [11]. Adenosine receptors are members of the G protein coupled receptor family. A₁ is negatively coupled to adenylate cyclase, while A_{2A} and A_{2B} can activate this same enzyme through the G_s protein subunit. The latter leads to an increase in cAMP levels, which may account for the adenosine mediated anti-inflammatory effects on T-cells, neutrophils or antigen presenting cells [12]. Thus, ADA is expressed as an ecto-enzyme with relevant physiological roles in the immune synapse [13,14]. On one hand, the enzymatic activity of ADA reduces adenosine levels available for stimulation of adenosine receptors expressed on the T-cell surface contributing to immune regulation [7,15,16]. On the other hand, ADA delivers costimulatory signals to T-cells through CD26 crosslinking [17]. By acting as a bridge between A_{2B} adenosine receptors on dendritic cells (DCs) and CD26 on T-cells [13], ADA

Table 1. Clinical information of patients with HIV-1.

Patients Receiving HAART (n = 11)									
Sex	Age	VL*	T CD4		T CD4 Nadir		T CD8		Progression
			cells/ μ L	%	cells/ μ L	%	cells/ μ L	%	
M	48	<DL	582	28	255	28	882	42	NC
M	68	<DL	565	24	277	14	1354	66	NC
M	33	<DL	1075	34	396	16	1458	45	VC
M	40	<DL	666	42	537	30	458	21	NC
M	63	<DL	539	23	266	20	1174	51	NC
M	40	<DL	671	22	243	19	1456	47	NC
F	51	<DL	342	11	332	10	2533	79	VC
F	59	<DL	1245	41	185	35	1435	48	NC
F	44	<DL	625	37	274	28	809	48	NC
M	35	2,7	764	45	365	26	673	40	NC
M	37	2,7	1193	31	783	29	2297	59	VC
Mean		2,7	751,5	30,7	355,7	23,2	1320,8	49,6	
SD			291,4	10,3	170,5	7,8	641,4	14,9	
Patients Not Receiving HAART (n = 11)									
Sex	Age	VL*	T CD4		T CD4 Nadir		T CD8		Progression
			cells/ μ L	%	cells/ μ L	%	cells/ μ L	%	
M	60	<DL	420	16	420	16	1182	45	VC
M	65	1,6	512	26	504	28	874	44	EC
F	54	1,9	540	20	451	19	854	52	EC
M	48	2,1	876	31	823	30	1355	48	VC
M	36	3,1	576	32	197	30	1332	59	VC
M	34	3,2	519	25	406	29	1148	55	NC
M	31	3,4	480	30	480	30	76	48	VC
M	35	3,4	660	22	452	16	1368	46	NC
F	47	3,4	844	47	702	44	638	35	EC
M	35	3,5	880	40	867	36	1582	48	N/A
M	41	3,5	664	30	537	17	979	44	NC
Mean		2,9	633,7	29,0	530,8	26,8	1035,3	47,6	
SD		0,8	165,7	8,9	195,7	9,0	421,2	6,3	

*VL is expressed as log viral copies/ml.

NC: Non controller.

VC: Viremic Controller.

EC: Elite Controller.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287.t001

acts as a costimulatory molecule in DC-T-cell cocultures enhancing T-cell proliferation, Th-1/pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion [13], and T-CD4⁺ cell activation, memory, and Foxp3⁺ generation in both healthy and HIV-infected subjects [18]. Although HIV gp120 envelope protein disrupts the ADA-CD26 interaction [19], possibly contributing to the immunodeficiency [20], ADA is still able to enhance autologous T-cell proliferation against inactivated-HIV presentation by DC in individuals under HAART [21], suggesting a beneficial role for ADA on improving HIV-specific T-cell responses in those individuals [22].

While ADA actions on T-cells have been extensively studied, its actions on human DC are still largely unknown, despite the pivotal role of these cells on the immunological synapse and T-cell activation. In fact, DCs are the most potent antigen presenting

cells (APC), critical in the initiation and control of protective immune responses [23,24]. Immature dendritic cells (iDCs) are widely spread among peripheral tissues, where they actively scan the environment to detect any invading pathogen or foreign antigen. Towards this end, pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPS), nucleotides, inflammatory cytokines or cell damage activate a complex DC maturation program consisting of the up-regulation of maturation markers such as CD83, costimulatory (such as CD80, CD86 and CD40) and HLA molecules, the activation of CCR7 expression-induced migration to secondary lymph-nodes and the secretion of T-cell polarizing cytokines, in a process set to efficiently activate antigen-specific T-cells [25]. In contrast, in the absence of alarm signals, self-antigens are presented to T-cells in the absence of or in limited costimulation,

which results in tolerance, either by T-cell apoptosis, anergy or regulatory T-cell (Treg) development in a process driven by inhibitory receptors such as PD-1 or CTLA-4 [26] and suppressive mediators such as IL-10, TGF- β or adenosine [27–29]. These unique and versatile properties of DCs makes them a valuable tool for therapeutic vaccination purposes such as in HIV [30,31], cancer [32,33] or tolerogenic approaches [34]. Therefore, it is important to know the role played by ADA on the biology of DCs.

Since it has been observed that DCs are able to arise from monocytes *in vivo* [35,36], monocyte-derived DCs are a good model for *in vitro* studies [37]. In this study we have addressed the role of ADA on the expression of costimulatory molecules, on cytokine and chemokine secretion, on the immunogenicity, migration and viability of human monocyte-derived DC from healthy or HIV-infected donors. Four novel observations derive from this study: First, ADA enhances DCs costimulatory molecule expression. Second, ecto-ADA actions on iDCs are able to increase the secretion of both pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines that are known to promote Th-1 immune responses. Third, we establish that both the enzymatic and enzymatic-independent role of ADA participate in ADA-induced effects. Finally, ADA globally enhances the immunogenicity of human DCs, a process that results in improved CD4⁺ T-cell proliferation after alloantigen presentation. These results demonstrate that ADA can lead to an increased mature DC status; a property that can be harnessed to improve the outcome of immune responses to chronic infections such as HIV.

Materials and Methods

Sampling and Study Population

Blood samples were obtained by venipuncture from the antecubital vein using EDTA-treated vacutainers (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA, USA) and were processed immediately after extraction. Healthy control volunteers (n = 25) and HIV-1-infected individuals (n = 22) were included in the study. The study received the approval of the Institutional Committee of Ethics and Clinical Investigation and all individuals gave informed written consent. The characteristics of HIV- infected subjects are shown in Table 1.

Antibodies and Reagents

FITC-conjugated mAbs against HLA-DR, HLA-ABC, CD4, CD14, CD19, CD25, CD45RA and IgG- γ 1 isotype-matched control, PE-conjugated mAbs against HLA-DR, CD1a, CD11c, CD14, CD19, CD25, CD40, CD45RO, CD56 and IgG- γ 1 isotype-matched control, PerCP-conjugated mAbs against CD3, CD4 and IgG- γ 1 isotype-matched control and PE-Cy7-conjugated mAb against CCR7 from Becton Dickinson Biosciences (Erembodegem-Aalst, Belgium); PE-conjugated mAbs against CD80, CD83 and CD86 from Coulter-Immunotech Diagnostic (Marseille, France); PE-conjugated mAb against CD209 from eBioscience (California, USA). Human-specific mAb against CD26, TA5.9-CC1-4C8 were used as previously indicated [13,19,20].

ADA Preparation and Activity Determination

ADA from calf intestine (Roche Diagnostic Inc, Mannheim, Germany) was desalted by passage through a PD-10 column (Amersham Biosciences, Cerdanyola, Spain) and its enzymatic activity was evaluated by adenosine deamination measured as decreases in absorbance at 265 nm as previously reported [38]. To obtain ADA without enzymatic activity, desalted ADA was treated with HgCl₂ as described previously [8] and passed again through

a PD-10 column to eliminate remaining HgCl₂. The enzymatic activity of Hg²⁺-treated ADA (ADA-Hg) was completely abolished. The possibility of LPS contamination in the ADA preparations was addressed by boiling the ADA preparation for 10 min before its addition to iDCs cultures. This process completely removed ADA's ability to induce phenotypic changes in iDCs (data not shown).

Generation of Monocyte-derived DCs

Monocyte-derived DCs were obtained as described previously [13,18,39]. Human PBMC were obtained immediately after blood extraction using the standard Ficoll gradient method. To obtain monocytes, PBMC were incubated for 2 h at 37°C in a humid atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in 75 cm² plastic flasks with DC-medium, consisting of serum free XVIVO-15 medium (Bio-Whittaker, Walkersville, MD, USA) supplemented with 1% autologous serum, 50 μ g/ml gentamycin (Braun B., Melsungen, Germany), 2.5 μ g/ml fungizone (Bristol-Myers Squibb, Munchen, Germany) and, in the case of HIV-infected individuals, with 1 μ M zidovudine (Retrovir from GlaxoSmithKline, Madrid, Spain) to avoid possible replication of endogenous HIV-1. To obtain immature DCs (iDCs) adherent monocytes were washed four times with pre-warmed serum free XVIVO-10 medium (Bio-Whittaker) and cultured during 5 days in DC-medium, supplemented at days 0 and 2 with human recombinant IL-4 and GM-CSF (1000 UI/ml, each) (Prospec-Tany Technogene LTD, Rehovot, Israel). The purity and immunophenotype were assessed by flow cytometry and was found to be similar to previously reported [13,18,39]. At day 5 of differentiation, iDCs were washed 4 times with XVIVO-10 media, counted and 5×10^5 cells/ml distributed in 12.5 cm² plastic flasks with fresh DC-medium supplemented with IL-4 and GM-CSF. To obtain ADA-treated DCs or fully mature DCs (mDCs), 2 μ M ADA or 10 μ l/ml of cytokine maturation cocktail were added, and cultured for two additional days. This cytokine maturation cocktail contains TNF- α , IL-6 (1000 UI/ml each, Strathmann-Biotec AG), IL-1 β (300 UI/ml, Strathmann Biotec AG) and PGE₂ (1 μ g/ml, Pfizer, Madrid, Spain).

T-cell Isolation, Cocultures and Proliferation Assays

As a source of T-cell-enriched population, non-adherent PBMC (see above) were washed four times with XVIVO-10 medium and collected for further coculture experiments as described before [13,18]. To measure T-cell proliferation, 10^7 cells/ml were stained with 5 μ M CFSE using the CellTrace CFSE proliferation kit (Molecular Probes, Paisley, UK) as indicated by the manufacturer's protocol.

Autologous or allogeneic cocultures were performed in 96-well round bottom plates, containing non-treated or treated iDCs (10^4 cells/well) and fresh CFSE stained T-cells (2×10^5 cells) in a final volume of 200 μ l of in XVIVO-10 media. T-cell proliferation was assessed by flow cytometry after 7 days of coculture in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Cytokine and Chemokine Secretion Measurement

iDCs, ADA-treated iDCs or mDCs (5×10^5 cell/ml) were cultured in DC-medium and the secretion of cytokines and chemokines was measured in the supernatants after 48 h of culture. Multiplex Luminex assays (Cytokine Human 25-Plex Panel, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were performed following the manufacturer instructions. The following 25 mediators were tested: Eotaxin, GM-CSF, IL-1 β , IL-1RA, IL-2, IL-2R, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, CXCL8(IL-8), IL-10, IL-12p40/p70, IL-13, IL-15, IL-17, IFN- α , IFN- γ , CXCL10(IP-10), CCL2(MCP-1),

CXCL9(MIG), CCL3(MIP1- α), CCL4(MIP-1 β), CCL5(RANTES) and TNF- α .

Chemotaxis Assay

DCs migration towards CCL19 or CCL21 chemokines (from PeproTech, London, UK) was measured in a 96-plate transwell chemotaxis chambers (Corning Costar, Cambridge, MA, USA), using a polycarbonate filter of 5 μ m pore size as previously reported [40]. Briefly, 150 μ l of recombinant human CCL19 (300 ng/ml) or recombinant human CCL21 (250 ng/ml) or medium alone were placed in the lower wells. Upper wells were loaded with 50 μ l of XVIVO-15 medium containing 2.5×10^4 iDCs, ADA-treated iDCs or mDCs. Each condition was set up in duplicates. The complete chamber was kept in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 3 h. Thereafter, cell suspensions in the upper well were removed and cells that had migrated through the filter to the lower wells were counted by flow cytometry for 1 min. Values were given as percentage of migrated cells \pm SEM in relation to the initial cell input.

Flow Cytometry

Cells were collected, washed with PBS and incubated 30 min at 4°C with PBS containing 10% rabbit serum and 0.1% NaN₃ prior 30 min incubation (4°C) with primary labeled antibodies. When CCR7 staining was performed, cells were incubated with PBS containing 5% BSA for 30 min at room temperature prior staining with CCR7-labeled monoclonal antibody for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were washed with PBS and 10,000 to 50,000 events were collected on a FACsCAN or a FACsCANTO flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson Biosciences Erembodegem-Aalst, Belgium). Data was analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc. Ashland, OR, USA). The expression of iDCs immunophenotype (CD3⁺, CD14⁺, CD19⁺, CD56⁺, HLA-DR⁺, HLA-ABC⁺, CD80^{low}, CD83^{low}, CD86⁺, CD1a⁺, CD11c⁺, CD40⁺, CD45RO⁺, CD45RA⁺) was addressed and the percentage of positive cells and the geometric mean fluorescence intensity was measured. DC and T-cell populations were selected by forward and side light-scatter parameters.

Cell Death Assay

iDCs, ADA-treated iDCs and mDCs were carefully washed with XVIVO-15 medium after 48 h cell culture in DC-medium. Cells (2×10^5) were resuspended in 200 μ l of XVIVO-15 media and incubated with 40 nM of the potentiometric mitochondrial probe DIOC₆ (Molecular Probes; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) and 10 μ l of propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 1 h at 37°C. Samples were then acquired in a FACsCANTO instrument (BD). A minimum of 10,000 events of every sample were collected and analyzed on FlowJo software. After gating on DCs population by Forward and Side parameters, apoptotic and necrotic cells were identified by their low DIOC₆ fluorescence [41] plus the absence or presence of propidium Iodide staining.

Statistical Analysis

Graphs were plotted using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, California, USA). Quantitative variables were analyzed using medians and IQRs. The non-parametric Mann Whitney *U*-test for unpaired data or the Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired data were used when comparing two groups. For multiple comparisons, Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunns post-test was used. For all the

tests used, a two tailed *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

ADA enhances the expression of costimulatory molecules in iDCs To study the influence of ADA on the phenotype of DCs, we first investigated the effect of ADA on the expression of costimulatory molecules by flow cytometry. Immature monocyte-derived DCs (iDCs) from healthy or HIV-infected donors were cultured in the absence or in the presence of ADA for 48 h, and the expression of CD83, CD80 and CD86 was compared with the expression detected in mature DCs (mDCs) obtained from the same donors after a cytokine-PGE₂ maturation cocktail stimulation [42]. Different doses of ADA were tested, choosing 2 μ M as the lowest dose at which significant ADA effects were observed (Fig. S1 A and B). When iDCs were treated with ADA, a consistent and statistically significant (*P*<0.001) upregulation of CD83 (Fig. 1 A and B) and CD80 (Fig. 1 C and D) was observed, both in healthy and in HIV-infected donors. As expected, mDCs showed a marked increase in the expression of CD83 and CD80 (Fig. 1 A and C). When assessing CD86 expression (Fig. 1 E and F), all DCs expressed CD86 (>95% cells were positive for this marker) but culturing iDCs in the presence of ADA resulted in a statistically significant increase in the CD86 geometric mean, which was seen in healthy donors (*P*<0.05) as well as in HIV-infected individuals (*P*<0.001) (Fig. 1 F). Again, mDCs expressed a higher CD86 geometric mean than its immature counterparts. CD83, CD80 and CD86 are classically considered maturation markers [43], and their upregulation suggests that ADA is potentiating DC maturation. The coordinated upregulation of costimulatory and HLA molecules on the surface of DCs is a characteristic feature of maturing DCs [43], thus, we next sought to examine the ADA effect on HLA expression in the same experimental settings as above. No statistically significant changes in the geometric mean of HLA-DR (Fig. 2 A and B) or HLA-ABC (Fig. 2 C and D) were obtained in either healthy nor in HIV-infected individuals when their iDCs were cultured in the presence of ADA. In contrast, cytokine maturation of DCs clearly increased the HLA-DR and HLA-ABC geometric means (Fig. 2 A and C). Both ADA treatment and cytokine maturation cocktail failed to affect the percentage of cells expressing other monocyte-derived DCs markers such as CD11c (90% positive) or CD14 (<5% positive). The failure of ADA to induce a clear upregulation of HLA molecules indicates that ADA does not promote a full maturation process but a preferential enhancement of costimulatory molecules. To address the latter, the ADA effect on the expression of CD40, another costimulatory marker, was measured. All iDCs expressed CD40 (>95% cells were positive on this marker). A moderate and significant (*p*<0.05) increase in CD40 geometric mean was observed when iDCs from healthy donors were treated with ADA (Fig. 2 E and F). A tiny increase in CD40 geometric mean was noticeable when iDCs from HIV-infected individuals were treated with ADA, although this effect was not consistent on every patient tested due to a high inter-individual variability (Fig. 2 F). In contrast, a clear increase in geometric mean was observed both in healthy and HIV individuals in mDCs (Fig. 2 E). Considering that CD83, CD80, CD86 and CD40 are important for delivering the appropriate secondary signals to T-cells [43,44] the ADA-mediated up-regulation of these markers indicates that ADA may increase the costimulatory potential of iDCs. Given the heterogeneity of the different HIV-infected individuals (table 1) we sought to determine whether differences could be observed on iDCs by classifying patients according to their clinical parameters,

including CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ cell counts, viral load, HAART treatment or disease progression (controller vs non controller). This analysis shows that iDCs from patients with detectable viremia have increased CD80 while reduced CD83 expression compared to patients with undetectable viremia (Fig. S2 B). No other major differences were found on this analysis. We further tested whether the ADA effects on costimulatory markers expression might correlate with any clinical parameter from these patients. Interestingly, ADA-mediated effects on CD80 expression did correlate with the patients' viral load (Fig. S3 A), but no other major correlations were found.

ADA Enhances Th-1/pro-inflammatory Cytokine and Chemokine Secretion

Eliciting the appropriate cytokine and chemokine expression pattern to each invading pathogen is critical to ensure a correctly polarized and efficient immune response [25]. To investigate whether ADA was able to enhance DC cytokine and chemokine release and to characterize the specific molecules involved, we analyzed the expression of 25 cytokines and chemokines in culture supernatants from non-treated and ADA-treated iDCs. iDCs from either healthy or HIV-infected individuals were cultured in the absence or in the presence of ADA or with the maturation cocktail. After 48 h supernatants were collected for further analysis. ADA addition to iDCs cultures resulted in a consistent enhancement in the release of a specific group of Th-1/pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines both in healthy and in HIV donors (Fig. 3). IL-12, whose secretion is pivotal in regulating Th-1 polarization, was augmented 2-fold in the presence of ADA when compared to iDCs in both healthy and HIV-infected individuals. When the pro-inflammatory cytokines were addressed, a striking 10-fold up-regulation of IL-6 was found, whereas a less consistent increase in TNF- α (2.5 median in-fold) was seen (Fig. 3). It must be noted that IL-1 β which is often found together with IL-6 and TNF- α under inflammatory stimuli, was not detected in our iDC cultures in any donor, suggesting that a very specific effect was triggered. Low, yet measurable levels (40–50 pg/ml) of the antiviral IFN- α cytokine were detected in iDCs, but were not increased by ADA (Fig. S1 C). When chemoattractant mediators were analyzed in the supernatants, a clear and significant increase in CXCL8, CCL3, CCL4 and CCL5 was observed in healthy donors and also in HIV-infected individuals (Fig. 3). The ADA-mediated increase on these latter three CCL chemokines (β -chemokines) is relevant to HIV pathogenesis since potent HIV-inhibitory activities are associated to these mediators [45]. In addition, ADA induced a less prominent but noticeable increase in other chemokines such as CCL2 (Fig. 3) or CXCL9 (1.35 median in-fold, Fig. S1). Importantly, the cytokine and chemokine profile enhanced by ADA is very similar to the one observed for mDCs (Fig. S1). It is also remarkable that in our culture conditions no measurable levels of eotaxin, IL-5, IL-7, IL-13, IL-15, IL-17 and CXCL10 were detected (data not shown).

ADA Enzymatic-dependent and Independent Activities Contribute to its Effects on iDCs

Both adenosine deamination and CD26 binding are reported to have important roles on the immune system [7,14]. Thus, we sought to delineate the particular contribution of these activities on the ADA-mediated effects on iDCs. To address this question, we blocked the enzymatic activity of ADA via inhibition with HgCl₂ (ADA-Hg). ADA-Hg is unable to degrade adenosine while retaining its receptor binding capability [8]. iDCs were then cultured in the absence or presence of ADA or ADA-Hg. As

expected, ADA led to an upregulation of CD83 and CD80 expression on all donors tested (Fig. 4 A and B). By contrast, ADA-Hg decreased the ADA-mediated up-regulation of CD83 and CD80 in a median of 0.7 and 0.8 in-fold respectively (Fig. 4 A). Despite this reduction, ADA-Hg was still able to induce a noticeable increase in CD80 and CD83 expression compared to non-treated iDCs (Fig. 4 A), indicating that a mechanism other than the enzymatic activity must also be involved. Since the presence of ADA on cell membranes has classically been associated to CD26 expression in many cell types [5,46,47] and CD26 is also expressed in human iDCs [13], the participation of CD26 on ADA-mediated effects on iDCs was investigated. To address this, the mAb TA5.9, which is directed against the ADA-binding epitope on CD26, was used. When iDCs had been previously pre-incubated with TA5.9, the ADA-mediated upregulation of CD83 and CD80 was reduced by 0.46 and 0.64 in-folds respectively suggesting an important role for ADA binding to CD26 in the ADA-mediated increase in CD86 and CD80 expression (Fig. 4 B). Our next goal was to address the role of ADA enzymatic activity and CD26 binding on cytokine and chemokine release. iDCs cultured in the presence of ADA-Hg have a decreased secretion of IL-12, CCL2, CCL4 and slightly CCL3 (Fig. 4 C) while TNF- α secretion was not significantly modified and IL-6 secretion was enhanced under these conditions (Fig. 4 C). When the mAb TA5.9 was used in the same experimental settings, no significant effect could be seen on IL-12, TNF- α or CCL3 secretion, while IL-6, CCL2 and CCL4 were slightly decreased (Fig. 4 C). Interestingly, neither the ADA-mediated changes in CXCL8 and CCL5 secretion were affected by ADA-Hg or TA5.9 (results not shown), suggesting these require a mechanism independent of CD26 or adenosine levels. Taken together, these results suggest that both the enzymatic activity and binding to CD26 contribute to the global ADA mediated effects observed, since the abrogation of one of these mechanisms alone does not abolish all the ADA effects.

ADA Enhances the DCs Capacity to Stimulate Allogeneic T-cells

The ADA-induced enhancement of costimulatory molecules expression and Th-1/pro-inflammatory mediators release on iDCs, suggested that ADA could render iDCs more immunogenic by improving antigen presentation. To address this question, iDCs were cultured in the absence or presence of ADA or with maturing cocktail during 48 h, strictly washed, and cocultured with allogeneic CFSE-stained T-cells or autologous CFSE-stained T-cells as a negative control. Proliferation of both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell subsets was quantified. As expected, mDCs induced the highest T-cell proliferation (Fig. 5 A and B). Remarkably, ADA also induced a significant increase in CD4⁺ T-cell proliferation compared to non-treated iDCs (Fig. 5 A and C). Moreover, an enhanced proliferation of CD8⁺ T-cells was also observed in cocultures with ADA-treated iDCs, although this increase was less consistent than that of CD4⁺ T-cells (Fig. 5 B and C). Barely detectable levels of CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T-cell proliferation was detected in autologous cocultures, neither in the absence nor in the presence of ADA (Fig. 5 A and B), discarding the possibility of ADA being presented as a foreign antigen. As ADA has been previously shown capable of increasing Th-1 immune responses when present at the immune synapse [13], we further tested whether ADA-induced effects on iDCs could still favor this T-cell polarization. To address this issue, co-culture supernatants were addressed for IFN- γ , IL-4, and IL-17, indicative of Th-1, Th-2 and Th-17 polarization, respectively. In all conditions tested IFN- γ levels clearly predominated, and ADA actions on iDC did not alter this secretion profile, as we detected 423 pg/ml of IFN- γ as




Figure 1. ADA enhances CD83, CD80 and CD86 expression on iDCs. iDCs, obtained as indicated in the Materials and Methods, were cultured for 48 h in medium in the absence (–ADA) or in the presence (+ADA) of 2 μ M ADA or in the presence of maturing cocktail (mDCs). Expression of CD83 (A and B), CD80 (C and D) or CD86 (E and F) in the DCs gate was assessed by flow cytometry. In A, C and E, histogram overlays and the percentage of positive cells (A and B) or geometric mean (C) for a representative healthy donor and HIV-infected subject are shown. In B, D and F, values obtained from 16 to 19 healthy donors (circles) or 12 to 16 HIV-infected individuals (triangles) in the absence (open symbols) or in the presence (filled symbols) of 2 μ M ADA are plotted. Each pair of linked symbols represents results from a particular individual. * $P < 0.05$; *** $P < 0.001$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287.g001

a median, while only 40 pg/ml of IL-4 and 49 pg/ml of IL-17 were found (Fig. 5 D). These results indicate that the ADA-induced effects on iDCs contribute to an improved iDC function.

ADA Increases CCR7 Expression without an Increase in DCs Migration

To further characterize the ADA effect on DCs function, we next tested the effect of ADA on DCs migration. CCR7 is critical to normal DC function as it drives antigen-loaded DCs entry to secondary-lymph nodes, through CCL19 and CCL21-dependent chemotactic gradients [48]. CCR7 is up-regulated upon DCs maturation, thus its expression is an indicator of DC status [43]. Therefore, we determined CCR7 expression and CCR7-dependent DCs migration as a way to evaluate the DC population. iDCs from healthy donors were cultured in the absence or in the presence of exogenous ADA or in the presence of maturing cocktail and CCR7 expression was determined by flow cytometry. A small but statistically significant increase in CCR7 expression was obtained in the presence of ADA in every tested healthy donor (Fig. 6 A and B). In accordance with previous studies [49], the maturation cocktail induced a more potent up-regulation of CCR7 (Fig. 6 A). We next sought to determine whether the increase on CCR7 expression also improved CCR7-dependent DC migration. To address this question, a transwell assay was used to assess the migration towards CCL19 or CCL21. As expected, extremely low numbers of iDCs migrated to CCL19 or to CCL21 after 3 hours of assay (Fig. 6 C and D). ADA addition to iDC cultures did not result in significant changes in iDC migration, neither to CCL19 nor to CCL21 in contrast to the migration observed with mDCs (Fig. 6 C and D). The ADA-induced increase in CCR7 expression fits with the role of ADA on increasing the expression of costimulatory molecules in iDCs that confers a more mature DC status, but is not sufficient to induce an increase on the in vitro DCs migration.

Role of ADA in iDCs Viability

The right balance between cell death and survival is critical to achieve a correct immune homeostasis and this is especially important in HIV-infected donors where DCs death contributes to the pathogenesis of the disease [50]. Thus, we next tested whether the ADA-induced more mature DC phenotype could be playing a role on DCs viability. iDCs from either healthy or HIV-infected individuals were cultured in the absence or in the presence of ADA or with maturing cocktail. DIOC₆, a fluorescent dye sensing mitochondrial potential was used to stain viable cells. Early apoptotic and late apoptotic/necrotic cells could be easily distinguished through the combination of low DIOC₆ staining and negative (apoptosis) or positive (necrosis) propidium iodide staining (Fig. 7). The low percentage of cells that showed a bright DIOC₆ and positive propidium iodide staining might represent dead cells phagocytosed by live DCs or DCs broken during manipulation. ADA addition to iDCs from healthy or HIV-infected donors did not result in significant changes in iDCs viability after 48 h of cell culture as measured by low DIOC₆ staining (Fig. 7 A and B). When apoptosis and necrosis were addressed on iDCs from healthy donors, ADA was found unable

of inducing significant changes in any of these populations, (Fig. 7 A, C and D). In contrast, all HIV-infected donors showed an increased percentage of early apoptotic cells in the presence of ADA while a reduced necrosis was detected (Fig. 7 A, C and D). The viability of DCs was clearly higher while reduced apoptosis and necrosis were observed in mDCs from either healthy or HIV-infected individuals (Fig. S4), a result most likely due to the presence of PGE₂ in the maturing cocktail [51]. These findings indicate that ADA does not play a role in iDCs viability in healthy individuals whereas a different situation emerges on HIV-infected donors. In a chronic disease setting, ADA showed a protective role against late steps of cell death, an observation which may apply to other cells such as CD8 T-cells [52]. This was however, not always reflected in a total increase in iDCs viability, suggesting that ADA is inhibiting a post-mitochondrial event in apoptotic cascades in iDCs from HIV-infected individuals.

Discussion

In this study, we explored the effects of extracellular ADA on human monocyte-derived DCs from healthy and HIV-infected individuals. Four novel observations derive from this study. First, ADA added to human iDCs cultures enhances DCs-self costimulatory and maturation molecule expression. Second, we demonstrate, for the first time, that ecto-ADA actions on iDCs are able to increase the secretion of both pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines that are known to promote Th-1 immune responses. Third, we establish that both the enzymatic and enzymatic-independent role of ADA participate in ADA-induced effects. Finally, ADA globally enhances the immunogenicity of human DCs, a process that results in an improved CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell activation after alloantigen presentation.

Despite the now clear role of ecto-ADA on promoting an enhanced T-cell activation, proliferation and Th-1 effector/memory generation [13,18,21], it remained unclear whether ecto-ADA would modulate human DC functions. It is this issue we have investigated. Using human monocyte-derived DCs obtained from peripheral blood, we first determined if the addition of ADA to iDC cultures would induce the expression of costimulatory molecules on iDCs. In fact we observed that ADA increases CD83, CD80, CD86 and CD40 expression. These molecules are classically considered maturation markers and their up-regulation suggests that ADA is potentiating the DCs maturation. Considering that CD83, CD80, CD86 and CD40 are important for delivering the appropriate secondary signals to T-cells [43,44], the ADA-mediated up-regulation of these markers indicates that ADA may increase the costimulatory potential of DCs. Another interesting aspect to highlight is that the addition of ADA to iDC cultures increases Th-1/pro-inflammatory cytokine release including IL-12, TNF- α and IL-6. This cytokine pattern is very similar to the ADA-increased effect on DC-T-cell autologous cocultures [13], indicating that ADA can act not only on lymphocytes but also on DCs to increase Th-1/pro-inflammatory cytokine release. Whereas IL-12 is critical to polarize T-cells towards Th-1, both IL-6 and TNF- α promote inflammation and the activation of both innate and adaptive immune responses including T-CD4⁺ memory generation [18,53] and the maturation




Figure 2. ADA effect on CD40 and HLA expression on iDCs. iDCs, obtained as indicated in the Materials and Methods, were cultured for 48 h in medium in the absence (–ADA) or in the presence (+ADA) of 2 μ M ADA or in the presence of maturing cocktail (mDCs). Expression of HLA-DR (A and B), HLA-ABC (C and D) or CD40 (E and F) in the DCs gate was measured by flow cytometry. In A, C and E, histogram overlays and the geometric mean from a representative healthy donor and HIV-infected patient are shown. In B, D and F, values obtained from 6 to 10 healthy donors (circles) or 9 to 10 HIV-infected subjects (triangles) in the absence (open symbols) or in the presence (filled symbols) of 2 μ M ADA. Values obtained from 6 to 10 healthy donors (circles) or 9 to 10 HIV-infected subjects (triangles). * $P < 0.05$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287.g002

of DCs [54]. In addition, pro-inflammatory cytokines in general and IL-6 in particular have been shown to block Treg mediated suppression of DCs [55] as well as global Treg suppression capacity [56]. Together with deaminase activity, the ADA-induced secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines would provide a local “suppression free” environment to facilitate T-cell activation. In addition to the cytokines, we also demonstrate, for the first time, that ADA addition to iDCs culture is able to increase the secretion of chemokines such as CXCL8, CCL2, CCL3, CCL4 and CCL5. The global role of these chemokines is to recruit immune cells such as neutrophils, monocytes, DCs, and activated T and B cells to the sites where antigen processing is taking place, in order to further develop the corresponding immune response [57].

To discern the exact mechanisms by which ADA is acting to induce DCs maturation is difficult but our results indicate that both the enzymatic and extraenzymatic activity of ADA are mediating its effects. By using ADA inhibited by HgCl₂ we

observed a reduced expression of costimulatory molecules and a decreased secretion of IL-12, CCL2, CCL3 and slightly CCL4 compared to active ADA, suggesting that the enzymatic activity of ADA is mediating part of these effects. ADA catalyzes the irreversible deamination of adenosine to inosine and ammonia decreasing the amount of extracellular adenosine able to bind to its receptors. It is known that human monocyte-derived DCs as well as plasmacytoid DCs express adenosine receptors [13,58,59] and adenosine, by binding to its receptors, modulates DC function, generally reducing the maturation and immunogenicity of stimulated DCs by enhancing IL-10 while diminishing IL-12, IL-6 and TNF- α secretion, lowering allo-stimulatory potential and limiting Th1 polarization [58–60]. Since the ADA-induced effects are the increase, not the decrease, of the expression or secretion of these molecules, part of the effects observed here can be due to the ADA-mediated reduction of adenosine available to bind adenosine receptors. This has been seen in mice where adenosine de-




Figure 3. ADA increases cytokines and chemokines secretion. iDCs, obtained as indicated in the Materials and Methods, from 9 healthy (circles) and 8 HIV-infected (triangles) donors were cultured during 48 h in medium in the absence (iDCs) or in the presence of 2 μ M ADA and the indicated cytokines and chemokines were determined in the supernatant as described in the Materials and Methods. Values are expressed as the ratio (in-fold) of cytokine or chemokine levels obtained in the presence of ADA versus levels obtained in the absence of ADA (iDCs, the reference value of 1 is represented by a dotted line). For each group, the median is indicated by a thick line. * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$ with respect to iDCs. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287.g003




Figure 4. Enzymatic and non-enzymatic activities are implicated on ADA-mediated effects. iDCs, obtained as indicated in the Materials and Methods, from 4 to 8 healthy donors were cultured for 48 h in medium in the absence (iDC) or in the presence of 2 μ M ADA (+ADA) or 2 μ M HgCl₂ inactivated ADA (ADA-Hg) or iDCs were pre-incubated with the mAb anti-CD26 TA5.9 and incubated with 2 μ M ADA (ADA+TA5.9). In (A) and (B), the expression of CD83 and CD80 was assessed in the DCs gate by flow cytometry. In (C) the indicated cytokines and chemokines were determined in the supernatants after 48 h of cell culture. Each pair of linked symbols represents results from a particular individual. Results are expressed as the ratio (in-fold) of the values obtained in the presence of ADA, ADA-Hg or ADA+TA5.9 versus untreated cells (iDCs, the reference value of 1 is represented by a dotted line). * P <0.05; ** P <0.01 with respect to iDCs. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287.g004

amination by ecto-ADA on DCs surface was revealed to be critical to ensure DCs activation to TLR ligands [61]. Interestingly however, inactive ADA (ADA-Hg) is able to increase IL-6 secretion, rather than decreasing it. Since adenosine has been proposed to signal through A_{2B} receptors and promote IL-6 secretion on iDCs [62,63] and ADA, by binding to A_{2B} increases the affinity and signaling of its ligands [9], it is plausible that ADA inactivation results in increased adenosine effects through its receptors. We observed that part of the ADA-mediated effects are independent of its enzymatic activity. In this respect, human or

bovine ADA binds to human CD26 [5,64,65], whereas murine ADA neither binds to mouse nor human CD26 [66], suggesting relevant differences between human and mice models. We have previously described that ADA, by a mechanism independent of its enzymatic activity, binds to CD26 on T-cells enhancing cytokine release and T-cell costimulation [13,18,20]. To address the role of CD26 in the ADA-induced effects, the mAb TA5.9, which is directed against the ADA-binding epitope on CD26, was used. In the presence of the antibody, the ADA-mediated upregulation of CD83 and CD80 was reduced and the secretion of IL-6, CCL2




Figure 5. ADA enhances DCs immunogenicity in iDC-T-cell Allogeneic cocultures. In A and B, iDCs, obtained as described in the Materials and Methods, from a representative healthy donor were cultured during 48 h in medium in the absence of ADA (iDCs), in the presence of 2 μ M ADA (iDCs+ADA) or in the presence of maturing cocktail (mDCs). DCs were washed and cocultured with allogeneic (upper contour plots) or autologous (lower contour plots) T-cells (1:20 DCs:T-cells ratio). After 7 days, the percentage of CD4⁺ (A) and CD8⁺ (B) T-cell proliferation was assessed by flow cytometry using the CFSE method. In (C) percentages of CD4⁺ (circles) and CD8⁺ (squares) T-cell proliferation in the absence (open symbols) or the presence (filled symbols) of 2 μ M ADA in allogeneic cocultures from 6 to 7 healthy donors are shown. Each pair of linked symbols represents results from a particular healthy donor. * P <0.05. In (D) bars indicate IFN- γ , IL-4 and IL-17 levels in ADA-treated iDC co-culture supernatants. Results are the mean \pm SD (pg/ml) of 3 independent experiments. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287.g005




Figure 6. Effect of ADA on CCR7 expression and iDC migration. iDCs, obtained as described in the Materials and Methods, from healthy donors were cultured during 48 h in medium in the absence (–ADA) or in the presence (+ADA) of 2 μ M ADA or in the presence of maturing cocktail (mDCs). In (A) and (B), CCR7 expression in the CD40⁺ DCs gate was measured by flow cytometry. Histogram overlays and the percentage of CCR7 expression for a representative donor are shown in (A). Values obtained from 7 healthy donors in the absence (open symbols) or in the presence (filled symbols) of 2 μ M ADA are shown in (B). In (C) and (D), CCL19/CCL21 chemokine-induced migration assays were performed as described in the Materials and Methods. The percentage of cell migration to CCL19 (C) or CCL21 (D) in the absence (–ADA) or in the presence (+ADA) of 2 μ M ADA or in the presence of maturing cocktail (mDCs), in relation to the initial cell input is shown. * P <0.01, *** P <0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287.g006

and CCL4 were slightly decreased suggesting a role for ADA binding to CD26 in these effects. Membrane-bound CD26 is known to interact with CD45 [67], a tyrosine phosphatase also present in dendritic cells. Since CD45 has been shown to modulate costimulatory molecule expression and TLR-induced cytokine secretion on murine DCs [68–70] it is plausible that after ADA binding, CD26 may interact and affect the subsequent Src kinases under CD45.

While ecto-ADA may attract immune effector cells through chemokine up-regulation, it clearly does not seem to promote iDCs migration to CCL19 or CCL21 gradients, despite the

upregulated CCR7 receptor expression observed in presence of ADA. This would fit with a role of ADA in the facilitation of DCs maturation rather than inducing the entire process alone. Regardless, the above mentioned ADA-mediated effects converge into one ultimate purpose: render DCs more immunogenic. In fact, in cocultures of lymphocytes and iDCs previously treated with ADA it was observed an enhanced proliferation of CD4⁺ T-cells. As DCs were extensively washed to eliminate the ADA added before the coculture, this improved immunogenicity is likely attributable to the enhanced expression of costimulatory molecules previously demonstrated. It is also plausible that iDCs treated with




Figure 7. Effect of ADA on the iDCs viability. iDCs, obtained as described in the Materials and Methods, from healthy or HIV-infected donors were cultured during 48 h in the absence (–ADA) or in the presence (+ADA) of 2 μ M ADA. Cell viability was assessed through DIOC₆ and propidium iodide (PI) staining and measured by flow cytometry. In (A), contour plots showing the percentage of viable (bright DIOC₆ and negative propidium iodide staining), apoptotic (low DIOC₆ and negative propidium iodide staining) and necrotic (low DIOC₆ and positive propidium iodide staining) populations from a representative healthy or HIV-infected donor are shown. Percentage of viable (B), apoptotic (C) and necrotic (D) DCs from 7 different healthy and 6 HIV-infected donors are shown. * $P < 0.05$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051287.g007

ADA are able to release greater amounts of cytokines and chemokines upon T-cell contact, due to their more mature status.

Finally, we have examined the effects of ADA on dendritic cells from asymptomatic HIV subjects. HIV-1 viral particles are known for affecting several immune mechanisms in their compulsory search for immune evasion. DC costimulatory molecule expression [71] and even CD26/ADA interactions [19,20] are found to be disrupted by HIV-1. In addition, HIV-1 deviates the appropriate Th-1 cell polarization towards Th-2 [72] and takes advantage of immunoregulatory mechanisms such as Tregs [73] or adenosine cell generation [74] to ensure the disease progression. Last but not least, diverse HIV factors are known to render DCs more sensitive to apoptosis [50]. In the asymptomatic HIV subjects addressed here, where limited or null viremia is found, ADA was able to enhance costimulatory molecule expression and Th-1 promoting cytokine/chemokine secretion, slightly enhancing DCs viability in some individuals. Taken together, these findings suggest that HIV-T-cell specific responses could be improved in the presence of ADA. In fact, previous experiments revealed that inactivated HIV-loaded DCs cocultured with autologous T-cells in the presence of ADA enhanced HIV-specific T-cell responses [21]. In addition, CCR5-binding chemokines CCL3, CCL4 and CCL5 are among the most potent natural HIV-1 suppression factors [45] known to

block HIV cell fusion by antagonizing CCR5, an HIV co-receptor [75]. Also CXCL8 showed some transcriptional inhibition of HIV-1 in peripheral blood lymphocytes [76]. These facts raise the question of whether ecto-ADA mediated effects on iDCs could be accompanied of certain HIV-1-R5 suppressing activity, a hypothesis deserving future investigation. Taken together, the findings here described demonstrate that ADA enhances maturation and costimulatory molecule expression on iDCs from healthy and HIV-infected individuals, concomitantly to an enhanced IL-12, IL-6, TNF- α , CXCL8, CCL3, CCL4 and CCL5 cytokine/chemokine secretion and an altered transition to late apoptosis in HIV-infected individuals. As a whole these effects render DCs more immunogenic and suggest that ADA might promote enhanced and correctly polarized HIV-specific T-cell responses targeting asymptomatic HIV-infected individuals.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 ADA dose-response in CD80/83 expression and cytokine secretion. iDCs, obtained as indicated in the Materials and Methods, were cultured for 48 h in medium in the absence (iDC) or in the presence of 1, 2, 4 or 6 μ M ADA (+ADA) and the expression of CD83 (A) or CD80 (B) was addressed by flow

cytometry in the DCs gate. Bars indicating the mean \pm SEM of 2 independent experiments are shown. In (C) the indicated cytokines and chemokines were determined in the supernatant from iDCs cultured in the absence (iDCs) or in the presence of 1, 2, 4 μ M ADA or in the presence of the maturing cocktail (mDCs). Bars indicating the mean and SEM of 4 different experiments are shown. Values are expressed as the ratio (in-fold) of CD83, CD80 or cytokine/chemokine levels obtained in the presence of ADA or mDCs versus levels obtained in the absence of ADA (iDCs, the reference value of 1 is represented by a dotted line). (TIF)

Figure S2 CD80 and CD83 expression on iDCs from HIV-infected subjects according to different clinical parameters. iDCs from HIV-infected subjects were cultured for 48 h in medium in the absence ($-$ ADA) or in the presence of 2 μ M ADA ($+$ ADA) and the expression of CD83 and CD80 was addressed by flow cytometry. Patients were separated according to receiving HAART or not (A), having undetectable ($<$ DL) or detectable viral load ($>$ DL) (B), being above or below 600 CD4 $^{+}$ / μ L (C) or above or below 900 CD8 $^{+}$ / μ L (D). * P <0.05, ** P <0.01. (TIF)

Figure S3 Correlation of ADA effect on CD83, CD80 and CD86 expression on iDCs from HIV subjects with different clinical parameters. iDCs from HIV-infected subjects were cultured for 48 h in medium in the absence ($-$ ADA) or in the presence of 2 μ M ADA ($+$ ADA) and the expression of CD83, CD80 and CD86 was addressed by flow cytometry. The % of ADA increase on the expression of each marker was obtained by subtracting the percentage of expression in the absence of ADA from the the percentage of expression in the presence of ADA. These values for CD83 (Left column), CD80 (middle column) and

CD86 (right column) were then correlated with patient's viral load (A), CD4 $^{+}$ cell counts (B), CD4 $^{+}$ Nadir (C) and CD8 $^{+}$ cell counts (D). The Spearman correlation test was applied. (TIF)

Figure S4 mDCs viability. iDCs, obtained as described in the Materials and Methods, from healthy or HIV-infected donors were cultured during 48 h in presence of a maturing cocktail (mDCs). Cell viability was assessed through DIOC $_6$ and propidium Iodide (PI) staining and measured by flow cytometry. In A, contour plots showing the percentage of viable (bright DIOC $_6$ and negative propidium iodide staining), apoptotic (low DIOC $_6$ and negative propidium iodide staining) and necrotic (low DIOC $_6$ and positive propidium iodide staining) populations from a representative healthy or HIV-infected donor are shown. The percentage of viable (B), apoptotic (C) and necrotic (D) DCs from 4 different healthy and 6 HIV-infected donors are shown. (TIF)

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all blood donors and to M.C. Pardo, M. Compte and M.A. López for technical assistance in blood extraction. We also acknowledge the invaluable technical help obtained from Cristina Rovira and Laia Miralles from the Hospital Clinic of Barcelona. PJM is a Ramón y Cajal Fellow.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: VC INM MRG JB TG CL NC PJM. Performed the experiments: VC INM MRG MM. Analyzed the data: VC INM JB FG TG CL NC PJM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JMG FG JB JM RF. Wrote the paper: VC CL PJM.

References

- Gaspar HB, Aiuti A, Porta F, Candotti F, Hershfield MS, et al. (2009) How I treat ADA deficiency. *Blood* 114: 3524–3532. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-06-189209.
- Blackburn MR, Thompson LF (2012) Adenosine Deaminase Deficiency: Unanticipated Benefits from the Study of a Rare Immunodeficiency. *J Immunol* 188: 933–935. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1103519.
- Arredondo-Vega FX, Santisteban I, Daniels S, Toutain S, Hershfield MS (1998) Adenosine deaminase deficiency: genotype-phenotype correlations based on expressed activity of 29 mutant alleles. *Am J Hum Genet* 63: 1049–1059. doi:10.1086/302054.
- Cassani B, Mirolo M, Cattaneo F, Benninghoff U, Hershfield M, et al. (2008) Altered intracellular and extracellular signaling leads to impaired T-cell functions in ADA-SCID patients. *Blood* 111: 4209–4219. doi:10.1182/blood-2007-05-092429.
- Kameoka J, Tanaka T, Nojima Y, Schlossman SF, Morimoto C (1993) Direct association of adenosine deaminase with a T cell activation antigen, CD26. *Science* 261: 466–469.
- De Meester I, Vanham G, Kestens L, Vanhoof G, Bosmans E, et al. (1994) Binding of adenosine deaminase to the lymphocyte surface via CD26. *Eur J Immunol* 24: 566–570. doi:10.1002/eji.1830240311.
- Dong RP, Kameoka J, Hegen M, Tanaka T, Xu Y, et al. (1996) Characterization of adenosine deaminase binding to human CD26 on T cells and its biologic role in immune response. *J Immunol* 156: 1349–1355.
- Ciruela F, Saura C, Canela EI, Mallol J, Lluís C, et al. (1996) Adenosine deaminase affects ligand-induced signalling by interacting with cell surface adenosine receptors. *FEBS Lett* 380: 219–223.
- Herrera C, Casadó V, Ciruela F, Schofield P, Mallol J, et al. (2001) Adenosine A2B receptors behave as an alternative anchoring protein for cell surface adenosine deaminase in lymphocytes and cultured cells. *Mol Pharmacol* 59: 127–134.
- Gracia E, Pérez-Capote K, Moreno E, Barkešová J, Mallol J, et al. (2011) A2A adenosine receptor ligand binding and signalling is allosterically modulated by adenosine deaminase. *Biochem J* 435: 701–709. doi:10.1042/BJ20101749.
- Cordero OJ, Salgado FJ, Nogueira M (2009) On the origin of serum CD26 and its altered concentration in cancer patients. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 58: 1723–1747. doi:10.1007/s00262-009-0728-1.
- Haskó G, Cronstein BN (2004) Adenosine: an endogenous regulator of innate immunity. *Trends Immunol* 25: 33–39.
- Pacheco R, Martinez-Navio JM, Lejeune M, Climent N, Oliva H, et al. (2005) CD26, adenosine deaminase, and adenosine receptors mediate costimulatory signals in the immunological synapse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 9583–9588. doi:10.1073/pnas.0501050102.
- Franco R, Pacheco R, Gatell JM, Gallart T, Lluís C (2007) Enzymatic and extraenzymatic role of adenosine deaminase 1 in T-cell-dendritic cell contacts and in alterations of the immune function. *Crit Rev Immunol* 27: 495–509.
- Hershfield MS (2005) New insights into adenosine-receptor-mediated immunosuppression and the role of adenosine in causing the immunodeficiency associated with adenosine deaminase deficiency. *Eur J Immunol* 35: 25–30. doi:10.1002/eji.200425738.
- Mandapathil M, Hildorfer B, Szczepanski MJ, Czysowska M, Szajnik M, et al. (2010) Generation and accumulation of immunosuppressive adenosine by human CD4 $^{+}$ CD25 high FOXP3 $^{+}$ regulatory T cells. *J Biol Chem* 285: 7176–7186. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.047423.
- Martín M, Huguet J, Centelles JJ, Franco R (1995) Expression of ecto-adenosine deaminase and CD26 in human T cells triggered by the TCR-CD3 complex. Possible role of adenosine deaminase as costimulatory molecule. *J Immunol* 155: 4630–4643.
- Martinez-Navio JM, Casanova V, Pacheco R, Naval-Macabuhay I, Climent N, et al. (2011) Adenosine deaminase potentiates the generation of effector, memory, and regulatory CD4 $^{+}$ T cells. *J Leukoc Biol* 89: 127–136. doi:10.1189/jlb.1009696.
- Blanco J, Valenzuela A, Herrera C, Lluís C, Hovanessian AG, et al. (2000) The HIV-1 gp120 inhibits the binding of adenosine deaminase to CD26 by a mechanism modulated by CD4 and CXCR4 expression. *FEBS Lett* 477: 123–128.
- Martinez-Navio JM, Climent N, Pacheco R, Garcia F, Plana M, et al. (2009) Immunological dysfunction in HIV-1-infected individuals caused by impairment of adenosine deaminase-induced costimulation of T-cell activation. *Immunology* 128: 393–404. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2009.03121.x.
- Climent N, Martinez-Navio JM, Gil C, Garcia F, Rovira C, et al. (2009) Adenosine deaminase enhances T-cell response elicited by dendritic cells loaded with inactivated HIV. *Immunol Cell Biol* 87: 634–639. doi:10.1038/icb.2009.53.
- Martinez-Navio JM, Climent N, Gallart T, Lluís C, Franco R (2011) An old enzyme for current needs: adenosine deaminase and a dendritic cell vaccine for HIV. *Immunology and Cell Biology*. Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21931337>. Accessed 2011 Nov 8.

23. Banchereau J, Steinman RM (1998) Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. *Nature* 392: 245–252. doi:10.1038/32588.
24. Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F (2001) Regulation of T cell immunity by dendritic cells. *Cell* 106: 263–266.
25. Macagno A, Napolitani G, Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F (2007) Duration, combination and timing: the signal integration model of dendritic cell activation. *Trends Immunol* 28: 227–233. doi:10.1016/j.it.2007.03.008.
26. Probst HC, McCoy K, Okazaki T, Honjo T, van den Broek M (2005) Resting dendritic cells induce peripheral CD8⁺ T cell tolerance through PD-1 and CTLA-4. *Nat Immunol* 6: 280–286. doi:10.1038/nri1165.
27. Pulendran B, Tang H, Manicassamy S (2010) Programming dendritic cells to induce T(H)2 and tolerogenic responses. *Nat Immunol* 11: 647–655. doi:10.1038/nri1894.
28. Ohta A, Sitkovsky M (2001) Role of G-protein-coupled adenosine receptors in downregulation of inflammation and protection from tissue damage. *Nature* 414: 916–920. doi:10.1038/414916a.
29. Deaglio S, Dwyer KM, Gao W, Friedman D, Usheva A, et al. (2007) Adenosine generation catalyzed by CD39 and CD73 expressed on regulatory T cells mediates immune suppression. *J Exp Med* 204: 1257–1265. doi:10.1084/jem.20062512.
30. Lu W, Arraes LC, Ferreira WT, Andrieu J-M (2004) Therapeutic dendritic-cell vaccine for chronic HIV-1 infection. *Nat Med* 10: 1359–1365. doi:10.1038/nm1147.
31. García F, Climent N, Assoumou L, Gil C, González N, et al. (2011) A therapeutic dendritic cell-based vaccine for HIV-1 infection. *J Infect Dis* 203: 473–478. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiq077.
32. Banchereau J, Palucka AK (2005) Dendritic cells as therapeutic vaccines against cancer. *Nat Rev Immunol* 5: 296–306. doi:10.1038/nri1592.
33. Gallois A, Bhardwaj N (2010) A needle in the “cancer vaccine” haystack. *Nat Med* 16: 854–856. doi:10.1038/nm0810-854.
34. Morelli AE, Thomson AW (2007) Tolerogenic dendritic cells and the quest for transplant tolerance. *Nat Rev Immunol* 7: 610–621. doi:10.1038/nri2132.
35. Ginhoux F, Tacke F, Angeli V, Bogunovic M, Loubreau M, et al. (2006) Langerhans cells arise from monocytes in vivo. *Nat Immunol* 7: 265–273. doi:10.1038/nri1307.
36. Cheong C, Matos I, Choi J-H, Dandamudi DB, Shrestha E, et al. (2010) Microbial stimulation fully differentiates monocytes to DC-SIGN/CD209(+) dendritic cells for immune T cell areas. *Cell* 143: 416–429. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.09.039.
37. León B, Ardavin C (2008) Monocyte-derived dendritic cells in innate and adaptive immunity. *Immunol Cell Biol* 86: 320–324. doi:10.1038/icb.2008.14.
38. Franco R, Canela EI, Bozal J (1986) Heterogeneous localization of some purine enzymes in subcellular fractions of rat brain and cerebellum. *Neurochem Res* 11: 423–435.
39. Rodríguez-García M, Climent N, Oliva H, Casanova V, Franco R, et al. (2010) Increased alpha-defensins 1–3 production by dendritic cells in HIV-infected individuals is associated with slower disease progression. *PLoS ONE* 5: e9436. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009436.
40. Climent N, Guerra S, García F, Rovira C, Miralles L, et al. (2011) Dendritic Cells Exposed to MVA-Based HIV-1 Vaccine Induce Highly Functional HIV-1-Specific CD8⁺ T Cell Responses in HIV-1-Infected Individuals. *PLoS ONE* 6: e19644. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019644.
41. Blanco J, Barretina J, Clotet B, Esté JA (2004) R5 HIV gp120-mediated cellular contacts induce the death of single CCR5-expressing CD4⁺ T cells by a gp41-dependent mechanism. *J Leukoc Biol* 76: 804–811. doi:10.1189/jlb.0204100.
42. Lee AW, Truong T, Bickham K, Fonteneau J-F, Larsson M, et al. (2002) A clinical grade cocktail of cytokines and PGE2 results in uniform maturation of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells: implications for immunotherapy. *Vaccine* 20 Suppl 4: A8–A22.
43. Banchereau J, Briere F, Caux C, Davoust J, Lebecqec S, et al. (2000) Immunobiology of dendritic cells. *Annu Rev Immunol* 18: 767–811. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.767.
44. Kruse M, Rosorius O, Krätzer F, Bevec D, Kuhnt C, et al. (2000) Inhibition of CD83 cell surface expression during dendritic cell maturation by interference with nuclear export of CD83 mRNA. *J Exp Med* 191: 1581–1590.
45. Cocchi F, DeVico AL, Garzino-Demo A, Arya SK, Gallo RC, et al. (1995) Identification of RANTES, MIP-1 alpha, and MIP-1 beta as the major HIV-suppressive factors produced by CD8⁺ T cells. *Science* 270: 1811–1815.
46. Eltzschig HK, Faigle M, Knapp S, Karhausen J, Ibla J, et al. (2006) Endothelial catabolism of extracellular adenosine during hypoxia: the role of surface adenosine deaminase and CD26. *Blood* 108: 1602–1610. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-02-001016.
47. Hashikawa T, Hooker SW, Maj JG, Knott-Craig CJ, Takedachi M, et al. (2004) Regulation of adenosine receptor engagement by ecto-adenosine deaminase. *FASEB J* 18: 131–133. doi:10.1096/fj.03-0011fj.
48. Randolph GJ, Angeli V, Swartz MA (2005) Dendritic-cell trafficking to lymph nodes through lymphatic vessels. *Nat Rev Immunol* 5: 617–628. doi:10.1038/nri1670.
49. Scandella E, Men Y, Gillessen S, Förster R, Groettrup M (2002) Prostaglandin E2 is a key factor for CCR7 surface expression and migration of monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *Blood* 100: 1354–1361. doi:10.1182/blood-2001-11-0017.
50. Laforge M, Campillo-Gimenez L, Monceaux V, Cumont M-C, Hurtrel B, et al. (2011) HIV/SIV Infection Primes Monocytes and Dendritic Cells for Apoptosis. *PLoS Pathog* 7: e1002087. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002087.
51. Baratelli F, Krysan K, Heuzé-Vourc’h N, Zhu L, Escudero B, et al. (2005) PGE2 confers survivin-dependent apoptosis resistance in human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *J Leukoc Biol* 78: 555–564. doi:10.1189/jlb.1004569.
52. Parish ST, Kim S, Sekhon RK, Wu JE, Kawakatsu Y, et al. (2010) Adenosine deaminase modulation of telomerase activity and replicative senescence in human CD8⁺ T lymphocytes. *J Immunol* 184: 2847–2854. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0903647.
53. Longhi MP, Wright K, Lauder SN, Nowell MA, Jones GW, et al. (2008) Interleukin-6 Is Crucial for Recall of Influenza-Specific Memory CD4⁺ T Cells. *PLoS Pathog* 4: e1000006. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000006.
54. Frick J-S, Grünebach F, Autenrieth IB (2010) Immunomodulation by semimature dendritic cells: a novel role of Toll-like receptors and interleukin-6. *Int J Med Microbiol* 300: 19–24. doi:10.1016/j.jimm.2009.08.010.
55. Pasare C, Medzhitov R (2003) Toll pathway-dependent blockade of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cell-mediated suppression by dendritic cells. *Science* 299: 1033–1036. doi:10.1126/science.1078231.
56. Shen H, Goldstein DR (2009) IL-6 and TNF-alpha synergistically inhibit allograft acceptance. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 20: 1032–1040. doi:10.1681/ASN.2008070778.
57. Sallusto F, Mackay CR, Lanzavecchia A (2000) The role of chemokine receptors in primary, effector, and memory immune responses. *Annu Rev Immunol* 18: 593–620. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.593.
58. Panther E, Idzko M, Herouy Y, Rhein H, Gebicke-Haerter PJ, et al. (2001) Expression and function of adenosine receptors in human dendritic cells. *FASEB J* 15: 1963–1970. doi:10.1096/fj.01-0169com.
59. Schnurr M, Toy T, Shin A, Hartmann G, Rothenfusser S, et al. (2004) Role of adenosine receptors in regulating chemotaxis and cytokine production of plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *Blood* 103: 1391–1397. doi:10.1182/blood-2003-06-1959.
60. Panther E, Corinti S, Idzko M, Herouy Y, Napp M, et al. (2003) Adenosine affects expression of membrane molecules, cytokine and chemokine release, and the T-cell stimulatory capacity of human dendritic cells. *Blood* 101: 3985–3990. doi:10.1182/blood-2002-07-2113.
61. Desrosiers MD, Cembrola KM, Fakir MJ, Stephens LA, Jama FM, et al. (2007) Adenosine deamination sustains dendritic cell activation in inflammation. *J Immunol* 179: 1884–1892.
62. Ryzhov S, Zaynagetdinov R, Goldstein AE, Matafonov A, Biaggioni I, et al. (2009) Differential role of the carboxy-terminus of the A(2B) adenosine receptor in stimulation of adenylate cyclase, phospholipase Cbeta, and interleukin-8. *Purinergic Signal* 5: 289–298. doi:10.1007/s11302-008-9129-8.
63. Wilson JM, Kurtz CC, Black SG, Ross WG, Alam MS, et al. (2011) The A2B Adenosine Receptor Promotes Th17 Differentiation via Stimulation of Dendritic Cell IL-6. *J Immunol* 186: 6746–6752. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1100117.
64. Weihofen WA, Liu J, Reutter W, Saenger W, Fan H (2004) Crystal structure of CD26/dipeptidyl-peptidase IV in complex with adenosine deaminase reveals a highly amphiphilic interface. *J Biol Chem* 279: 43330–43335. doi:10.1074/jbc.M405001200.
65. Fan H, Tansi FL, Weihofen WA, Böttcher C, Hu J, et al. (2012) Molecular mechanism and structural basis of interactions of dipeptidyl peptidase IV with adenosine deaminase and human immunodeficiency virus type-1 transcription transactivator. *Eur J Cell Biol* 91: 265–273. doi:10.1016/j.ejcb.2011.06.001.
66. Richard E, Alam SM, Arredondo-Vega FX, Patel DD, Hershfield MS (2002) Clustered charged amino acids of human adenosine deaminase comprise a functional epitope for binding the adenosine deaminase complexing protein CD26/dipeptidyl peptidase IV. *J Biol Chem* 277: 19720–19726. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111901200.
67. Ishii T, Ohnuma K, Murakami A, Takasawa N, Kobayashi S, et al. (2001) CD26-mediated signaling for T cell activation occurs in lipid rafts through its association with CD45RO. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98: 12138–12143. doi:10.1073/pnas.211439098.
68. Piercy J, Petrova S, Tchilian EZ, Beverley PCL (2006) CD45 negatively regulates tumour necrosis factor and interleukin-6 production in dendritic cells. *Immunology* 118: 250–256. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2006.02363.x.
69. Montoya M, Dawes R, Reid D, Lee LN, Piercy J, et al. (2006) CD45 is required for type I IFN production by dendritic cells. *Eur J Immunol* 36: 2150–2158. doi:10.1002/eji.200535304.
70. Cross JL, Kott K, Miletic T, Johnson P (2008) CD45 Regulates TLR-Induced Proinflammatory Cytokine and IFN- β Secretion in Dendritic Cells. *J Immunol* 180: 8020–8029.
71. Chaudhry A, Das SR, Hussain A, Mayor S, George A, et al. (2005) The Nef protein of HIV-1 induces loss of cell surface costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 in APCs. *J Immunol* 175: 4566–4574.
72. Klein SA, Döbmeyer JM, Döbmeyer TS, Pape M, Ottmann OG, et al. (1997) Demonstration of the Th1 to Th2 cytokine shift during the course of HIV-1 infection using cytoplasmic cytokine detection on single cell level by flow cytometry. *AIDS* 11: 1111–1118.
73. Suchard MS, Mayne E, Green VA, Shalekoff S, Donninger SL, et al. (2010) FOXP3 expression is upregulated in CD4⁺T cells in progressive HIV-1 infection and is a marker of disease severity. *PLoS ONE* 5: e11762. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011762.

74. Nikolova M, Carriere M, Jenabian M-A, Limou S, Younas M, et al. (2011) CD39/Adenosine Pathway Is Involved in AIDS Progression. *PLoS Pathog* 7: e1002110. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002110.
75. Berger EA, Murphy PM, Farber JM (1999) Chemokine receptors as HIV-1 coreceptors: roles in viral entry, tropism, and disease. *Annu Rev Immunol* 17: 657–700. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.17.1.657.
76. Rollenhagen C, Asin SN (2010) IL-8 decreases HIV-1 transcription in peripheral blood lymphocytes and ectocervical tissue explants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 54: 463–469. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181e5e12c.